



US009246731B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Kim et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,246,731 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Jan. 26, 2016**

(54) **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BASEBAND
PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION IN
MULTI-CHANNEL WIDEBAND
COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS**

(2013.01); **H03F 3/24** (2013.01); **H04B 1/0475**
(2013.01); **H04L 25/03343** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(71) Applicant: **Dali Systems Co. Ltd.**, George Town
Grand Cayman (KY)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None
See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventors: **Wan-Jong Kim**, Coquitlam (CA);
Kyoung Joon Cho, Coquitlam (CA);
Jong Heon Kim, Seoul (KR); **Shawn**
Patrick Stapleton, Burnaby (CA)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,638,248 A 1/1987 Schweickert
4,700,151 A 10/1987 Nagata

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1462153 A 12/2003
CN 1518209 A 8/2004

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/480,285**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 8, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0092830 A1 Apr. 2, 2015

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/887,133, filed on
May 3, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,855,234, which is a
continuation of application No. 13/404,679, filed on
Feb. 24, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,509,347, which is a

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H03H 7/30 (2006.01)
H04L 27/26 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 27/2618** (2013.01); **H03F 1/3247**

Kim W.J. et al. "Piecewise Pre-Equalized Linearization of the Wire-
less Transmitter With a Doherty Amplifier", IEEE Transactions on
Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 54, No. 9, Sep. 2006, 10
pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Adolf Dsouza

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Kilpatrick Townsend &
Stockton LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An efficient baseband predistortion linearization method for
reducing the spectral regrowth and compensating memory
effects in wideband communication systems using effective
multiplexing modulation technique such as wideband code
division multiple access and orthogonal frequency division
multiplexing is disclosed. The present invention is based on
the method of piecewise pre-equalized lookup table based
predistortion, which is a cascade of a lookup table predistor-
tion and piecewise pre-equalizers.

16 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 11/961,969, filed on Dec. 20, 2007, now Pat. No. 8,149,950.

- (60) Provisional application No. 61/012,416, filed on Dec. 7, 2007, provisional application No. 60/877,035, filed on Dec. 26, 2006.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

H03F 1/32 (2006.01)

H03F 3/24 (2006.01)

H04L 25/03 (2006.01)

H04L 27/36 (2006.01)

H04W 24/02 (2009.01)

H04B 1/04 (2006.01)

H04W 24/04 (2009.01)

H04W 40/00 (2009.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **H04L27/362** (2013.01); **H04W 24/02** (2013.01); **H04W 24/04** (2013.01); **H04W 40/00** (2013.01); **H03F 2200/336** (2013.01); **H03F 2200/57** (2013.01); **H03F 2201/3224** (2013.01); **H03F 2201/3233** (2013.01); **H04L 2025/03414** (2013.01)

(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,890,300 A 12/1989 Andrews
4,929,906 A 5/1990 Voyce et al.
5,049,832 A 9/1991 Cavers
5,121,412 A 6/1992 Borth
5,132,639 A 7/1992 Blauvelt et al.
5,396,190 A 3/1995 Murata
5,486,789 A 1/1996 Palandech et al.
5,579,342 A 11/1996 Crozier
5,596,600 A * 1/1997 Dimos et al. 375/148
5,675,287 A 10/1997 Baker et al.
5,678,198 A 10/1997 Lemson
5,732,333 A 3/1998 Cox et al.
5,757,229 A 5/1998 Mitzlaff
5,786,728 A 7/1998 Alinikula
5,920,808 A 7/1999 Jones et al.
5,936,464 A 8/1999 Grondahl
5,937,011 A 8/1999 Carney et al.
5,949,283 A * 9/1999 Proctor et al. 330/149
5,959,499 A 9/1999 Khan et al.
5,963,549 A 10/1999 Perkins et al.
6,054,896 A * 4/2000 Wright et al. 330/149
6,055,418 A 4/2000 Harris et al.
6,091,941 A 7/2000 Moriyama et al.
6,141,390 A 10/2000 Cova
6,166,601 A 12/2000 Shalom et al.
6,240,144 B1 5/2001 Ha
6,242,979 B1 6/2001 Li
6,246,286 B1 6/2001 Persson
6,246,865 B1 6/2001 Lee
6,275,685 B1 8/2001 Wessel et al.
6,301,579 B1 10/2001 Becker
6,315,189 B1 11/2001 Williams
6,400,774 B1 6/2002 Matsuoka et al.
6,424,225 B1 7/2002 Choi et al.
6,430,402 B1 8/2002 Agahi-Kesheh
6,512,417 B2 1/2003 Booth et al.
6,552,609 B2 4/2003 Hamada et al.
6,552,634 B1 4/2003 Raab
6,566,944 B1 5/2003 Pehlke et al.
6,625,429 B1 9/2003 Yamashita
6,639,050 B1 10/2003 Kieliszewski
6,639,463 B1 10/2003 Ghanadan et al.
6,639,466 B2 10/2003 Johnson
6,677,870 B2 1/2004 Im et al.
6,697,436 B1 2/2004 Wright et al.

6,703,897 B2 3/2004 O'Flaherty et al.
6,741,663 B1 5/2004 Tapio et al.
6,747,649 B1 6/2004 Sanz-Pastor et al.
6,751,447 B1 6/2004 Jin et al.
6,794,931 B2 9/2004 Kenington
6,963,242 B2 11/2005 White et al.
6,983,025 B2 1/2006 Schell
6,985,704 B2 1/2006 Yang et al.
6,998,909 B1 2/2006 Mauer
7,031,749 B1 4/2006 Mitama
7,034,612 B2 4/2006 Kim
7,035,345 B2 4/2006 Jeckeln et al.
7,042,287 B2 5/2006 Robinson
7,061,314 B2 6/2006 Kwon et al.
7,064,606 B2 6/2006 Louis
7,079,818 B2 7/2006 Khorram
7,102,442 B2 9/2006 Anderson
7,103,329 B1 9/2006 Thon
7,104,310 B2 9/2006 Hunter
7,106,806 B1 9/2006 Kenington
7,109,792 B2 9/2006 Leffei
7,109,998 B2 9/2006 Smith
7,151,913 B2 12/2006 Ahmed
7,158,765 B2 1/2007 Blair et al.
7,193,471 B2 3/2007 Tsutsui et al.
7,193,472 B2 3/2007 Gotou et al.
7,248,642 B1 7/2007 Vella-Coleiro
7,259,630 B2 8/2007 Bachman et al.
7,301,402 B2 11/2007 Norris et al.
7,321,635 B2 1/2008 Osenasek et al.
7,321,636 B2 1/2008 Harel et al.
7,372,918 B2 5/2008 Muller et al.
7,409,007 B1 8/2008 Johnson et al.
7,469,491 B2 12/2008 McCallister et al.
7,493,094 B2 2/2009 Ichitsubo et al.
7,593,710 B2 9/2009 Brigaud et al.
7,702,300 B1 4/2010 McCune
7,826,810 B2 11/2010 Carmel et al.
7,831,221 B2 11/2010 Leffel et al.
RE42,287 E 4/2011 Apodaca et al.
8,149,950 B2 4/2012 Kim et al.
8,213,884 B2 7/2012 Kim et al.
8,401,499 B2 3/2013 Kim et al.
8,509,347 B2 8/2013 Kim et al.
8,548,403 B2 10/2013 Kim et al.
8,855,234 B2 10/2014 Kim et al.
2002/0034260 A1 3/2002 Kim
2002/0041209 A1 * 4/2002 Miyatani 330/149
2002/0044014 A1 4/2002 Wright et al.
2002/0080891 A1 6/2002 Ahn et al.
2002/0097085 A1 7/2002 Stapleton
2002/0101937 A1 8/2002 Antonio et al.
2002/0101938 A1 8/2002 Horagushi et al.
2002/0158689 A1 10/2002 Harris et al.
2002/0186783 A1 12/2002 Opas et al.
2002/0187761 A1 12/2002 Im et al.
2002/0193085 A1 12/2002 Mathe et al.
2003/0095608 A1 5/2003 Duperray
2003/0179829 A1 9/2003 Pinckley et al.
2003/0179830 A1 9/2003 Eidson et al.
2003/0207680 A1 11/2003 Yang et al.
2003/0234688 A1 12/2003 Matsuyoshi et al.
2004/0017859 A1 1/2004 Sills et al.
2004/0032912 A1 2/2004 Ocenasek et al.
2004/0208259 A1 10/2004 Hunton
2004/0212428 A1 10/2004 Ode et al.
2004/0240585 A1 12/2004 Bishop et al.
2005/0059360 A1 3/2005 Kenington
2005/0068102 A1 3/2005 Hongo et al.
2005/0079834 A1 4/2005 Maniwa et al.
2005/0159117 A1 7/2005 Bausov et al.
2005/0258898 A1 11/2005 Hongo
2005/0262498 A1 11/2005 Ferguson et al.
2006/0012426 A1 1/2006 Nezami
2006/0012427 A1 1/2006 Nezami
2006/0141957 A1 6/2006 Fischer et al.
2006/0214729 A1 9/2006 Furuya et al.
2006/0240786 A1 10/2006 Liu
2006/0270366 A1 11/2006 Rozenblit et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2007/0057737 A1 3/2007 Davis et al.
 2007/0075780 A1 4/2007 Krvavac et al.
 2007/0171234 A1 7/2007 Crawfis et al.
 2007/0241812 A1 10/2007 Yang
 2007/0264947 A1 11/2007 Rozenblit et al.
 2008/0152037 A1 6/2008 Kim et al.
 2008/0240286 A1 10/2008 Zhang et al.
 2008/0265996 A1 10/2008 Kim et al.
 2009/0013317 A1 1/2009 Abfalter et al.
 2009/0088093 A1 4/2009 Nentwig
 2009/0213972 A1 8/2009 Maunuksela et al.
 2010/0271957 A1 10/2010 Stapleton et al.
 2012/0147993 A1 6/2012 Kim et al.
 2012/0230382 A1 9/2012 Kim et al.
 2013/0315291 A1 11/2013 Kim et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1531213 A 9/2004
 CN 1640086 A 7/2005
 CN 1838530 A 9/2006
 CN 1288341 A 12/2006
 CN 1983801 A 6/2007
 CN 2012-525093 A 10/2012
 CN 104202279 A 12/2014
 JP 2000-278237 A 10/2000
 JP 2002-536902 A 10/2002
 JP 2003-304122 A 10/2003
 JP 2005-033632 A 2/2005
 JP 2005-150932 6/2005
 JP 2005-333353 A 12/2005
 JP 2006/505160 A 2/2006
 JP 2006-340166 12/2006
 JP 2007-195056 8/2007
 JP 5236661 B2 7/2013
 KR 2004-0054420 A 6/2004
 WO WO 00/46916 A1 8/2000
 WO WO 01/08296 A1 2/2001
 WO WO 2004/040870 A1 5/2004

WO WO 2005/076495 A1 8/2005
 WO WO 2006/087864 A1 8/2006
 WO WO 2008/154077 A1 12/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action for corresponding Japanese Application No. 2009-543544 dated Aug. 21, 2012, 3 pages. (English Translation is not available).

English Translation and First Office Action for corresponding Chinese Application No. 200780051434.2 dated Mar. 6, 2013, 8 pages.
 English Translation and Second Office Action for corresponding Chinese Application No. 200780051434.2 dated Jan. 2, 2014, 9 pages.

Extended European Search Report for corresponding European Application No. 07870441.8 mailed on Feb. 26, 2014, 9 pages.

English Translation and Notice of Preliminary Rejection (Non-Final) for corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 10-2009-7015697 dated Apr. 28, 2014, 7 pages.

First Examination Report for corresponding Indian Patent Application No. 4344/CHENP/2009 dated Jul. 14, 2014, 2 pages.

English Translation and Notice of Preliminary Rejection for corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 10-2014-7017682 dated Aug. 26, 2014, 7 pages.

English Translation and Notice of Last Preliminary Rejection for corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 10-2009-7015697 dated Oct. 17, 2014, 4 pages.

Non-Final Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 13/404,679 mailed on Aug. 28, 2012, 12 pages.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/404,679 mailed on Feb. 5, 2013, 7 pages.

Non-Final Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 13/887,133 mailed on Nov. 7, 2013, 14 pages.


Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/887,133 mailed on Jun. 6, 2014, 7 pages.

English Translation and Notice of Third notice of Preliminary Rejection for corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 10-2009-7015697 dated May 22, 2015, 7 pages.

English Translation and Notice of Final Rejection for corresponding Korean Patent Application No. 10-2014-7017682, dated May 28, 2015, 5 pages.

* cited by examiner

Figure 1






FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3A




FIGURE 3B




FIGURE 3C




FIGURE 3D




FIGURE 3E





FIGURE 4A

**FIGURE 4B**




FIGURE 4C




FIGURE 4D




FIGURE 5

PD type	ACPR (Lower)	ACPR (Upper)
No PD	-40.4 dBc	-38.7 dBc
LUT	-56.4 dBc	-54.5 dBc
Hammerstein	-56.7 dBc	-54.2 dBc
The proposed	-65.1 dBc	-64.4 dBc
Memory Polynomial	-66.2 dBc	-65.1 dBc

FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7

PD type	ACPR (Lower)	ACPR (Upper)
No PD	-35.4 dBc	-36.4 dBc
LUT	-45.4 dBc	-47.5 dBc
Hammerstein	-45.5 dBc	-48.8 dBc
The proposed	-51.1 dBc	-53.4 dBc
Memory Polynomial	-52.2 dBc	-54.5 dBc

FIGURE 8

Method		The proposed
LUT PD output	ADD/SUB	2
	MPY	4
	Memory size (LUT)	2N
1 Iteration	ADD/SUB	16
	MPY	20
	Memory size	-
Filtering	ADD/SUB	4L-2
	MPY	4L
	Memory size	2L
Filter Update	ADD/SUB	8L
	MPY	8L+2
	Memory size	(2N+1)L
Total Operations		12L+16 : ADD/SUB 14L+26 : MPY 2NL+3L+2N : Memory size

N is the number of LUT entries and L is the number of taps.

FIGURE 9

Method		Memory/polynomial
PD output	ADD/SUB	$4O+K-1$
	MPY	$6O$
	Memory Size	$2K$
1 Iteration	ADD/SUB	$12O^2+10O+K+1$
	MPY	$14O^2+18O+4$
	Memory size	$2O+2$
Total Operations		$12O^2+14O+2K$: ADD/SUB $14O^2+24O+4$: MPY $2O+2K+2$: Memory size

O is equal to $P(K+1)$, where P is the polynomial order and K is the number of memory terms.

FIGURE 10

1

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BASEBAND PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION IN MULTI-CHANNEL WIDEBAND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

CROSS-REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/887,133, filed on May 3, 2013, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BASEBAND PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION IN MULTI-CHANNEL WIDEBAND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS," which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/404,679, filed on Feb. 24, 2012, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BASEBAND PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION IN MULTI-CHANNEL WIDEBAND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS," now U.S. Pat. No. 8,509,347, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/961,969 filed on Dec. 20, 2007, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BASEBAND PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION IN MULTI-CHANNEL WIDEBAND COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS," now U.S. Pat. No. 8,149,950, which claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/877,035, filed Dec. 26, 2006, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/012,416, filed Dec. 7, 2007, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The linearity and efficiency of radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers (PAs) have been a critical design issue for non-constant envelope digital modulation schemes which have high peak-to-average-power ratios (PARs) as the importance of spectral efficiency in wireless communication systems increases. RF PAs have nonlinearities that generate amplitude modulation-amplitude modulation (AM-AM) and amplitude modulation-phase modulation (AM-PM) distortion at the output of the PA. These effects create spectral regrowth in the adjacent channels and in-band distortion which degrades the error vector magnitude (EVM).

The relationship between linearity and efficiency is a tradeoff since power efficiency is very low when the amplifier operates in its linear region and increases as the amplifier is driven into its compression region. In order to enhance linearity and efficiency at the same time, linearization techniques are typically applied to the RF PAs. Various linearization techniques have been proposed such as feedback, feedforward and predistortion.

One technique is baseband digital predistortion (PD) which typically uses a digital signal processor. Digital predistortion can achieve improved linearity and improved power efficiency with reduced system complexity when compared to the widely used conventional feedforward linearization technique. A software implementation provides the digital predistorter with re-configurability suitable for multi-standards environments. In addition, a PA using an efficiency enhancement technique such as a Doherty power amplifier (DPA) is able to achieve higher efficiencies than traditional PA designs at the expense of linearity. Therefore, combining digital predistortion with a PA using an efficiency enhancement technique has the potential to improve system linearity and overall efficiency.

However, most digital PDs presuppose that PAs have no memory or a weak memory. This is impractical in wideband applications where memory effects cause the output signal to

2

be a function of current as well as past input signals. The sources of memory effects in PAs include self-heating of the active device (also referred to as long time constant or thermal memory effects) and frequency dependencies of the active device, related to the matching network or bias circuits (also referred to as short time constant or electrical memory effects). As signal bandwidth increases, memory effects of PAs become significant and limit the performance of memoryless digital PDs.

Various approaches have been suggested for overcoming memory effects in digital PDs. For the short-term memory effects, a Volterra filter structure was applied to compensate memory effects using an indirect learning algorithm, but the number of optimization coefficients is very large as the order increases. This complexity makes the Volterra filter based PD extremely difficult to implement in real hardware. A memory polynomial structure, which is a simplified version of the Volterra filter, has been proposed in order to reduce the number of coefficients, but even this simplified version still requires a large computational load. In addition, such a memory polynomial based PD suffers from a numerical instability when higher order polynomial terms are included because a matrix inversion is required for estimating the polynomial coefficients. An alternative, yet equally complex structure based on orthogonal polynomials has been utilized to alleviate the numerical instability associated with the traditional polynomials. To further reduce the complexity at the expense of the performance, the Hammerstein predistorter, which is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter or a linear time invariant (LTI) system followed by a memoryless polynomial PD, has been proposed. The Hammerstein predistorter assumed that the PA models used follow a Wiener model structure which is a memoryless nonlinearity followed by a finite impulse response (FIR) filter or a linear time invariant (LTI) system.

This implementation means that the Hammerstein structure can only compensate for memory effects coming from the RF frequency response. Therefore, if the RF frequency response is quite flat, the Hammerstein PD cannot correct for any other types of memory effects, such as bias-induced and thermal memory effects.

Most recently, a static lookup table (LUT) digital baseband PD cascaded with a sub-band filtering block has been used in order not to compensate for electrical memory effects, but to combat gain and phase variation due to temperature changes of the PA after an initial setting for the fixed LUT PD.

Hence, there has been a long-felt need for a baseband predistortion linearization method able to compensate for not only RF frequency response memory effects but also bias-induced or thermal memory effects in multi-channel wideband wireless transmitters.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention substantially overcomes many of the foregoing limitations of the prior art, and provides a system and method of baseband predistortion linearization that compensates for nonlinearities as well as memory effects found in multi-channel wideband wireless transmitters. This result is achieved through the use of piecewise pre-equalized PD utilizing a lookup table. With this approach, the present invention is able to compensate for electrical and thermal memory effects while at the same time reducing the computational complexity and the numerical instability of the system as compared with prior art systems using a memory polynomial PD algorithm, while the present

invention is comparable to a memory polynomial PD in terms of the resulting linearity in the performance of a multi-band PA.

Further objects and advantages of the invention can be more fully understood from the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which:

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram showing a piecewise pre-equalized LUT predistortion system in accordance with the invention.

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram showing a polynomial-based embodiment of the equalizer **107** of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3A is a graph showing complex gain adjuster response.

FIG. 3B is a graph showing piecewise equalizer response in accordance with the invention.

FIG. 3C is a graph showing response of the cascade of complex gain adjuster and piecewise equalizers in accordance with the invention.

FIG. 3D is a graph showing a power amplifier response

FIG. 3E is a graph showing detailed response from the response of the cascade of complex gain adjuster and piecewise equalizers and the complex gain adjuster response.

FIG. 4A is a graph showing representative linearization results before and after linearization with an embodiment of a memoryless LUT PD using an eight tone test signal with 500 kHz spacing.

FIG. 4B is a graph showing representative linearization results before and after linearization with a LUT Hammerstein PD using an eight tone test signal with 500 kHz spacing.

FIG. 4C is a graph showing representative linearization results before and after linearization with a piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention using an eight tone test signal with 500 kHz spacing.

FIG. 4D is a graph showing representative linearization results before and after linearization with a memory polynomial PD using an eight tone test signal with 500 kHz spacing.

FIG. 5 is a graph showing representative linearization results for the four types of PDs consisting of a memoryless LUT PD, a LUT Hammerstein PD, a piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention, and a memory polynomial PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively.

FIG. 6 is a graph showing performance comparisons of the simulation results of the ACPR for the four types of PD consisting of a memoryless LUT PD, a LUT Hammerstein PD, a piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention, and a memory polynomial PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively.

FIG. 7 is a graph showing measured linearization results for the 4 types of PD consisting of a memoryless LUT PD, a LUT Hammerstein PD, a piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention, and a memory polynomial PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively.

FIG. 8 is a graph showing performance comparisons of the measurement results of the ACPR for the 4 types of PD consisting of a memoryless LUT PD, a LUT Hammerstein PD, a piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention, and a memory polynomial PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively.

FIG. 9 is a graph showing complexity estimation of the piecewise pre-equalized PD of the present invention.

FIG. 10 is a graph showing complexity estimation of the memory polynomial PD.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

To overcome the computational complexity and numerical instability of the memory polynomial PD found in the prior art, The present invention, therefore, utilizes an adaptive LUT-based digital predistortion system with a LUT that has been pre-equalized to compensate for memory effects, so as to achieve less computational load than the prior art while also reducing the adjacent channel power ratio (ACPR) to substantially the same degree as the memory polynomial PD has achieved. The system provided by the present invention is therefore referred to as a piecewise pre-equalized, lookup table based predistortion (PELPD) system hereafter.

Preferred and alternative embodiments of the PELPD system according to the present invention will now be described in detail with reference to the accompanying drawings.

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram showing an embodiment of a PELPD system in accordance with the invention. As illustrated, the linear magnitude addressing method for the LUT **106** indexing is used as follows:

$$m = \text{round}(|u(n)| \cdot N),$$

where $u(n)$ is the input signal **101** and the round function returns the nearest integer number which is the index (m) and N is the LUT **106** size.

The digital complex baseband input signal samples **101** are multiplied prior to pre-equalization **107** by complex coefficients **102** drawn from LUT entries as follows

$$x(n) = u(n) \cdot F_m(|u(n)|),$$

where $F_m(|u(n)|)$ is the complex coefficient **102** corresponding to an input signal **101** magnitude for compensating AM to AM and AM to PM distortions of the PA **110**.

N by $K-1$ filter coefficients in the LUT of the piecewise pre-equalizer **107** are used to compensate for memory effects, where N is the depth of the LUT and the FIR filter has K taps. In some embodiments, the piecewise pre-equalizers **107** use a FIR filter rather than an infinite impulse response (IIR) filter because of stability issues, although a FIR filter is not necessarily required for all embodiments. The output **104** of the pre-equalizers can be described by

$$\begin{aligned} z(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} W_k^m(|u(n)|) \cdot x(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} W_k^m(|u(n)|) \cdot u(n-k) \cdot F_m(|u(n-k)|), \end{aligned}$$

where $W_k^m(|u(n)|)$ is the k -th tap and m -th indexed coefficient corresponding to the magnitude of the input signal, $u(n)$ **101**. Also, $w_k^m(|u(n)|)$ is a function of $|u(n)|$ and F_m **102** is a function of $(|u(n-k)|)$. For analysis purposes, the memoryless LUT **106** (F_m) structure can be replaced by a polynomial model as follows:

$$F_m(|u(n)|) = \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)}$$

where $2p-1$ is the polynomial order and b is a complex coefficient corresponding to the polynomial order. Moreover,

5

it is noted that the tap coefficients and memoryless LUT coefficients (F_m) **102** depend on $u(n)$ and $u(n-k)$, respectively.

Therefore, each piece of the equalizer can be expressed using a polynomial equation by

$$z(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} W_k^m(|u(n)|) \cdot \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot u(n-k) \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)}$$

where $W_k^m(|u(n)|)$ is the k -th tap coefficient with the m -th index being a function of $|u(n)|$. Without loss of generality, the piecewise pre-equalizers **107** can be defined similarly using a l -th order polynomial,

$$z(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{p=1}^L w_{k,2l-1} \cdot |u(n)|^{2(l-1)} \times \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot u(n-k) \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)}$$

where $w_{k,l}$ is the k -th tap and l -th order coefficient.

After digital-to-analog converting **108** of $z(n)$ **104**, this signal is up-converted **109** to RF, amplified by the PA **110** generating distortions, attenuated **113**, down-converted **114** to baseband, and then finally analog-to-digital converted **115** and applied to the delay **116** estimation algorithm **117**. The feedback signal, that is, the output of the PA **110** with delay, $y(n-\Delta)$ **105** can be described by

$$y(n-\Delta) = G(|z(n-\Delta)|) \oplus e^{j\Phi(|z(n-\Delta)|)}$$

where $G(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is AM/AM and AM/PM distortions of the PA **110**, respectively and Δ is the feedback loop delay. For estimating Δ , a correlation technique was applied as follows:

$$R(d) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z(n) \cdot y^*(n+d)$$

where d is the delay variable and N is the block size to correlate.

After delay **116** estimation, the memoryless LUT **106** coefficients can be estimated by the following equation which is the least mean square (LMS) algorithm with indirect learning.

$$F_m(|u(n+1)|) = F_m(|u(n)|) + \mu \cdot u(n) \cdot e(n)$$

where n is the iteration number, μ is the stability factor and $e(n)$ is $x(n) - y(n) \cdot F_m(|x(n)|)$.

It should be pointed out that addressing already generated can be reused for indexing $y(n)$ **105** which is a distorted signal able to cause another error due to incorrect indexing. During this procedure, the samples, $x(n)$ **103**, should bypass by the piecewise pre-equalizers **107**. After convergence of this indirect learning LMS algorithm, the equalizers **107** are activated. An indirect learning method with an LMS algorithm has also been utilized for adaptation of the piecewise filter coefficients. The input of the multiple equalizers **107** in the feedback path is written in vector format as

$$y_F(n) = [y_F(n) \ y_F(n-1) \ \dots \ y_F(n-K+1)]$$

where $y_F(n)$ is the post LUT output, that is, $y(n) \cdot F_m(|y(n)|)$.

6

Therefore, the multiple FIR filter outputs, $y_{FO}(n)$, can be derived in vector format using the following equations.

$$y_{FO}(n) = W^n \cdot y_{FT}(n)^T$$

$$W^n = [W_0^m \ W_1^m \ \dots \ W_{K-1}^m]$$

where T is a transpose operator.

Adaptation of the tap coefficients of the pre-equalizers **107** can be obtained as follows:

$$W^n(|u(n+1)|) = W^n(|u(n)|) + \mu \cdot (y_{FT}(n)^T)^* \cdot E(n)$$

where $E(n)$ is the error signal between $z(n)$ and $y_{FO}(n)$, and μ is the step size ($*$ represents the complex conjugate). The adaptation algorithm determines the values of the coefficients by comparing the feedback signal and a delayed version of the input signal.

Referring to the feedback path beginning at output **111**, it will be appreciated that several alternatives exist for using such feedback to update the LUT values or polynomial coefficients. In some embodiments, the output of the PA is converted to baseband, and the resulting baseband signal is compared to the input signal. The resulting error is used to correct the LUT values and coefficients. In other embodiments, the output from the PA is spectrally monitored and the out of band distortion is monitored using a downconverter, bandpass filter and power detector. The power detector value is then used to adjust the LUT values or polynomial coefficients.

FIG. 2 illustrates the corresponding block diagram of the piecewise pre-equalizers **107** PD when polynomial equations are utilized. The polynomial representation requires too many complex multiplications similar to the Volterra series. The complexity is reduced when a PELPD-based approach, as shown in FIG. 1, is utilized, because fewer calculations are required, although more memory may be required. It will be appreciated from the discussion herein that the pre-equalizing portion is adaptive and designed to correct memory effects, while the lut serves primarily to pre-distort to correct the other nonlinearities found in commercial PA's.

FIGS. 3A-3D are graphical explanations of the PELPD of the present invention. A typical memoryless predistorter response is shown in FIG. 3A. FIG. 3B demonstrates the hysteresis created by the piecewise pre-equalizers divided into N pieces. Since the hysteresis of the power amplifier is not necessarily uniformly distributed over the whole input magnitude range, the piecewise pre-equalizers are applied to achieve a uniform compensation across the entire input range. The output of the PELPD of the present invention is illustrated in FIG. 3C, which can be thought of as resulting from a cascade of FIGS. 3A and 3B. FIG. 3D shows the response of a typical power amplifier response and FIG. 3B results in the PELPD of the present invention as represented in FIG. 3C. FIG. 3D shows the response of a typical power amplifier response with memory. The desired linear response in FIG. 3E is achieved after FIG. 3C and FIG. 3D are cascaded.

In order to examine the performance of the PELPD of the present invention, the behavioral modeling of a PA based on time domain measurement samples was first carried out. The behavioral model was based on the truncated Volterra model. A 300 W peak envelope power (PEP) Doherty PA using two 170 W push-pull type laterally diffused metal oxide semiconductors (LDMOS) at the final stage was designed. This Doherty PA operates at 2140 MHz band and has 61 dB of gain and 28% power added efficiency (PAE) at an average 30 W output power. To construct the PA model based on measurements of the actual PA, the test bench was utilized [K. Mekechuk, W. Kim, S. Stapleton, and J. Kim, "Linearizing Power Amplifiers Using Digital Predistortion, EDA Tools and Test

Hardware,” High Frequency Electronics, pp. 18-27, April 2004]. Based on the behavioral model, various types of PDs including a memoryless LUT PD, a Hammerstein PD, the PELPD of the present invention and a memory polynomial PD have been simulated and the adjacent channel power ratio (ACPR) performances are compared. The LUT size was fixed to 128 entries through all simulations, which is a compromise size considering quantization effects and memory size. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the amount of compensation for nonlinearities is related to the size of the LUT 106. Increases in LUT size, while yielding a more accurate representation of the nonlinearities, comes at the cost of more effort in the adaptation. Thus, selection of LUT size is a trade-off between accuracy and complexity.

As a test signal, a single downlink W-CDMA carrier with 64 dedicated physical channels (DPCH) of Test Mode based on 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) standard specifications, which has 3.84 Mc/s and 9.8 dB of a crest factor. First, an eight tone signal with 500 kHz spacing which has 9.03 dB of PAR and 4 MHz bandwidth, which is comparable to a W-CDMA signal, was used for verifying the proposed method.

FIGS. 4A-4D are graphs showing representative linearization results before and after linearization of the four types of PD. As shown in FIG. 4A, a conventional memoryless LUT PD was able to improve the linearity and also compensate for the memory effects. FIG. 4B shows a conventional Hammerstein PD which deteriorates the performance above 10 MHz and improves it within a 10 MHz bandwidth. If the RF frequency response in the main signal path is quite flat, the Hammerstein PD is not able to correct any other memory effects except for frequency response memory effects. There is also no obvious improvement for reducing spectral regrowth using the conventional Hammerstein PD. It is very clear that the ability of the Hammerstein PD for suppressing distortions coming from memory effects is quite limited. FIG. 4C shows the performance of the PELPD of the present invention (with 2 taps). FIG. 4D illustrates the performance of a conventional memory polynomial PD (with 5th order and two memory terms). By comparing FIGS. 4A-4D, it can be seen that the PELPD of the present invention is comparable to the memory polynomial PD in terms of ACPR performance.

FIG. 5 is a graph showing linearization results for the four types of PD mentioned above. A single W-CDMA carrier was applied to the LUT PD, the LUT Hammerstein PD, the PELPD of the present invention, and the memory polynomial PD.

FIG. 6 is a graph showing performance comparisons of the simulation results of the ACPR for the 4 types of, respectively. The conventional Hammerstein PD was unable to improve any distortions coming from memory effects over the memoryless PD. The PELPD of the present invention could suppress distortions due to nonlinearities as well as memory effects of the PA.

After verifying the ACPR performance of the PELPD of the present invention in the simulations based on the behavioral PA model, an experiment was performed using the actual Doherty PA in the test bench. The transmitter prototype consists of an ESG which has two digital to analog converters (DACs) and a RF up-converter, along with the PA. The receiver comprises an RF down-converter, a high speed analog to digital converter, and a digital down-converter. This receiver prototype can be constructed by a VSA. For a host DSP, a PC was used for delay compensation and the predistortion algorithm. As a test signal, two downlink W-CDMA carriers with 64 DPCH of Test Model 1 which has 3.84 Mc/s and 9.8 dB of a crest factor was used as the input

signal in the measurements in order to verify the compensation performance of the different PDs. All coefficients of PDs are identified by an indirect learning algorithm which is considered to be inverse modeling of the PA. During the verification process, a 256-entry LUT, 5 taps FIR filter for Hammerstein PD, the PELPD of the present invention (with 2 taps), and a 5th order-2 delay memory polynomial were used. The choice of the number of taps was optimized from several measurements.

FIG. 7 is a graph showing the measured linearization results before and after linearization of the 4 types of PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively. ACPR calculation at the output of the prototype transmitter, is performed at a frequency offset (5 MHz and -5 MHz) from the center frequency.

FIG. 8 is a graph showing performance comparisons of the measurement results of the ACPR for the 4 types of PD using a single W-CDMA carrier, respectively. The ACPR value for the transmitter with the Hammerstein PD having a 5 tap FIR filter is about 1 dB better than a LUT PD on the upper ACPR (5 MHz offset) and the same at the lower ACPR (-5 MHz offset). The PELPD of the present invention and a 5th order-2 memory polynomial PD show close compensation performance in terms of ACPR. Both are able to improve the ACPR about 4 dB and 6 dB more than Hammerstein PD and a memoryless LUT PD, for the lower and upper ACPR, respectively.

The complexity of the PELPD method of the present invention and the memory polynomial method is also evaluated (neglecting LUT readings, writings, indexing, and calculation of the square root (SQRT) of the signal magnitude, because LUT indexing depends not only on the methods, but also on the variable, for example, magnitude, logarithm, power, and so on and the SQRT operation can be implemented in different ways). Therefore, the complexity is only estimated by counting the number of additions (subtractions) and multiplications per input sample. In order to consider a real hardware implementation, complex operations are converted into real operations and memory size is also considered. For example, one complex multiplication requires two real additions and four real multiplications. If N is the number of LUT entries, memory size required is $2N$ (I&Q LUTs).

FIG. 9 is a graph showing complexity estimation of the PELPD of the present invention. If the LUT has 256 entries and the filters have 2 taps, the PD requires 40 real additions (subtractions), 54 real multiplications per sample, and a memory size of 1542. The PELPD of the present invention requires the same number of additions and multiplications as the traditional Hammerstein PD, but requires more memory.

FIG. 10 is a graph showing complexity estimation of the memory polynomial PD using an RLS indirect learning algorithm. The number of arithmetic operations are given in FIG. 11, where 0 is equal to $P(K+1)$. For example, $P=5$ and $K=1$ require 1342 real additions (subtractions), 1644 real multiplications per sample, and memory size of 24. In a comparison of the number of multiplications with the PELPD of the present invention, the memory polynomial PD requires 300 times more real multiplications per sample. Therefore, the complexity is significantly reduced by the PELPD method. In addition, the number of real multiplication for the memory polynomial method grows as the square power of the polynomial order and memory length.

In summary, the PELPD of the present invention, compared to the conventional Hammerstein approach, could reduce spectral regrowth more effectively and achieve a similar correction capability with the memory polynomial PD, but requires much less complexity.

Although the present invention has been described with reference to the preferred and alternative embodiments, it will be understood that the invention is not limited to the details described thereof. Various substitutions and modifications have been suggested in the foregoing description, and others will occur to those of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, all such substitutions and modifications are intended to be embraced within the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of reducing adjacent channel power ratio in a multi-channel wideband communication system, the method comprising:

generating a pre-distorted input signal by multiplying a baseband input signal and a polynomial predistortion coefficient from plurality of polynomial predistortion coefficients, wherein the polynomial predistortion coefficient is based on an absolute value of the input signal multiplied by the number of predistortion coefficients in the plurality of predistortion coefficients, rounded to the nearest integer; and

pre-equalizing the pre-distorted input signal using one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients, the one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients corresponding to memory effects in a power amplifier of the communication system.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the polynomial predistortion coefficient is calculated using the following equation:

$$F_m(|u(n-k)|) = \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)},$$

wherein $2p-1$ is a polynomial order and b is a complex coefficient corresponding to the polynomial order.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the pre-distorted input signal is pre-equalized using the following equation:

$$z(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{l=1}^L w_{k,2l-1} \cdot |u(n)|^{2(l-1)} \times \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot u(n-k) \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)},$$

wherein $w_{k,l}$ is a k -th tap and l -th order coefficient.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients correspond to filter coefficients of a finite impulse response filter.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients correspond to filter coefficients of an infinite impulse response filter.

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

upconverting the pre-equalized signal to radio frequency; and

outputting the upconverted signal to the power amplifier.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising:

downconverting the amplified signal to baseband;

comparing the baseband amplified signal to the baseband input signal; and

correcting at least one of the polynomial predistortion coefficient and the polynomial pre-equalization coefficient based on the comparison.

8. The method of claim 6, further comprising:

downconverting the amplified signal to baseband;

monitoring out of band distortion of the baseband amplified signal; and

adjusting at least one of the polynomial predistortion coefficient and the polynomial pre-equalization coefficient based on the out of band distortion.

9. A system for reducing adjacent channel power ratio in a multi-channel wideband communication system, the system comprising:

a multiplier for combining a baseband input signal and a polynomial predistortion coefficient from plurality of polynomial predistortion coefficients, wherein the polynomial predistortion coefficient is based on an absolute value of the input signal multiplied by the number of predistortion coefficients in the plurality of predistortion coefficients, rounded to the nearest integer; and

an equalizer for pre-equalizing an output of the multiplier using one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients to compensate for memory effects in a power amplifier of the communication system.

10. The system of claim 9, wherein the polynomial predistortion coefficient is calculated using the following equation:

$$F_m(|u(n-k)|) = \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)},$$

wherein $2p-1$ is a polynomial order and b is a complex coefficient corresponding to the polynomial order.

11. The system of claim 10, wherein pre-equalizing comprises using the following equation:

$$z(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{l=1}^L w_{k,2l-1} \cdot |u(n)|^{2(l-1)} \times \sum_{p=1}^P b_{2p-1} \cdot u(n-k) \cdot |u(n-k)|^{2(p-1)},$$

wherein $w_{k,l}$ is a k -th tap and l -th order coefficient.

12. The system of claim 9, wherein the one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients correspond to filter coefficients of a finite impulse response filter.

13. The system of claim 9, wherein the one or more polynomial pre-equalization coefficients correspond to filter coefficients of an infinite impulse response filter.

14. The system of claim 9, further comprising:

an upconverter for upconverting an output of the equalizer to radio frequency; and

a downconverter for downconverting an output of the power amplifier to baseband.

15. The system of claim 14, further comprising:

a comparator for comparing an output of the downconverter to the baseband input signal.

16. The system of claim 14, further comprising:

a bandpass filter and a power detector for monitoring out of band distortion of the output of the power amplifier.

* * * * *